arXiv:1402.5955v1 [hep-ph] 24 Feb 2014

Newly observed $D_J(2580)$, $D_J^*(2650)$, $D_J(2740)$, $D_J^*(2760)$, $D_J(3000)$ and $D_J^*(3000)$ in D meson family

Guo-Liang Yu[1],* Zhi-Gang Wang[1],† and ZhenYu Li[2]

[1] *Department of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric power university, Baoding 071003, People's Republic of China*

[2] *School of Physics and Electronic Science, Guizhou Normal College, Guiyang 550018, People's Republic of China*

(Dated: February 26, 2014)

In this work, we tentatively assign the charmed mesons $D_J(2580)$, $D_J^*(2650)$, $D_J(2740)$, $D_J^*(2760)$, $D_J(3000)$ and $D_J^*(3000)$ observed by the LHCb collaboration according to their spin-parity and masses, then study their strong decays to the ground state charmed mesons plus light pseudoscalar mesons with the $^3P_0$ model. According to these study, we assigned the $D_J^*(2760)$ as the $1D\frac{5}{2}3^-$ state, the $D_J^*(3000)$ as the $1F\frac{5}{2}2^+$ or $1F\frac{7}{2}4^+$ state, the $D_J(3000)$ as the $1F\frac{7}{2}3^+$ or $2P\frac{1}{2}1^+$ state in the D meson family. As a byproduct, we also study the strong decays of $2P\frac{1}{2}0^+$,$2P\frac{3}{2}2^+$, $3S\frac{1}{2}1^-$, $3S\frac{1}{2}0^-$ etc, states, which will be helpful to further experimentally study mixings of these D mesons.

PACS numbers: 13.25.Ft; 14.40.Lb

1 Introduction

Recently, the LHCb Collaboration announced several D_J resonances by studying the $D^+\pi^-$, $D^0\pi^+$, $D^{*+}\pi^-$ invariant mass spectra, which are obtained from the pp collisions at a center-of-mass energy of 7TeV [1]. The LHCb Collaboration observed two natural resonances $D_J^*(2650)^0$,$D_J^*(2760)^0$ and two unnatural parity resonances $D_J(2580)^0$, $D_J(2740)^0$ in the $D^{*+}\pi^-$ mass spectrum, and tentatively identified $D_J(2580)$ as the $2S$ 0^- state, the $D_J^*(2650)$ as the $2S$ 1^- state, the $D_J(2740)$ as the $1D$ 2^- state, the $D_J^*(2760)$ as the $1D$ 1^- state. The $D_J^*(2760)^0$ observed in the $D^{*+}\pi^-$ and $D^+\pi^-$ decay modes have consistent parameters, their charged partner $D_J^*(2760)^+$ was observed in the $D^0\pi^+$ final state [1]. In addition, the LHCb collaboration also observed one unnatural parity resonance $D_J(3000)^0$ in the $D^{*+}\pi^-$ final state, two resonances $D_J^*(3000)^0$ and $D_J^*(3000)^+$ in the $D^+\pi^-$ and $D^0\pi^+$ mass spectra, respectively [1]. The revelent parameters are presented in Table I.

In 2010, the BaBar collaboration observed four excited charmed mesons $D(2550)$, $D(2600)$, $D(2750)$ and $D(2760)$ in the decays $D^0(2550) \to D^{*+}\pi^-$, $D^0(2600) \to D^{*+}\pi^-$, $D^+\pi^-$, $D^0(2750) \to D^{*+}\pi^-$, $D^0(2760) \to D^+\pi^-$, $D^+(2600) \to D^0\pi^+$ and $D^+(2760) \to D^0\pi^+$ respectively in the inclusive $e^+e^- \to$

*Electronic address: yuguoliang2011@163.com

†Electronic address: zgwang@aliyun.com

TABLE I: The experimental results from the LHCb collaboration, where the N and U denote the natural parity and unnatural parity, respectively.

	Mass(MeV)	Width(MeV)	Decay Channel	Significance
$D_J^*(2650)^0$ (N)	$2649.2 \pm 3.5 \pm 3.5$	$140.2 \pm 17.1 \pm 18.6$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	24.5σ
$D_J^*(2760)^0$ (N)	$2761.1 \pm 5.1 \pm 6.5$	$74.4 \pm 3.4 \pm 37.0$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	10.2σ
$D_J(2580)^0$ (U)	$2579.5 \pm 3.4 \pm 5.5$	$177.5 \pm 17.8 \pm 46.0$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	18.8σ
$D_J(2740)^0$ (U)	$2737.0 \pm 3.5 \pm 11.2$	$73.2 \pm 13.4 \pm 25.0$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	7.2σ
$D_J(3000)^0$ (U)	2971.8 ± 8.7	188.1 ± 44.8	$D^{*+}\pi^-$	9.0σ
$D_J^*(2760)^0$ (N)	$2760.1 \pm 1.1 \pm 3.7$	$74.4 \pm 3.4 \pm 19.1$	$D^+\pi^-$	17.3σ
$D_J^*(3000)^0$	3008.1 ± 4.0	110.5 ± 11.5	$D^+\pi^-$	21.2σ
$D_J^*(2760)^+$ (N)	$2771.7 \pm 1.7 \pm 3.8$	$66.7 \pm 6.6 \pm 10.5$	$D^0\pi^+$	18.8σ
$D_J^*(3000)^+$ (N)	3008.1 (fixed)	110.5 (fixed)	$D^0\pi^+$	6.6σ

TABLE II: The experimental results from the BaBar collaboration, the particles in the bracket are the possible corresponding ones observed by the LHCb collaboration.

	Mass(MeV)	Width(MeV)	Decay Channel
$D^0(2550)$ $[D_J(2580)^0]$	$2539.4 \pm 4.5 \pm 6.8$	$130 \pm 12 \pm 13$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$
$D^0(2600)$ $[D_J^*(2650)^0]$	$2608.7 \pm 2.4 \pm 2.5$	$93 \pm 6 \pm 13$	$D^+\pi^-$,$D^{*+}\pi^-$
$D^0(2750)$ $[D_J(2740)^0]$	$2752.4 \pm 1.7 \pm 2.7$	$71 \pm 6 \pm 11$	$D^{*+}\pi^-$
$D^0(2760)$ $[D_J^*(2760)^0]$	$2763.3 \pm 2.3 \pm 2.3$	$60.9 \pm 5.1 \pm 3.6$	$D^+\pi^-$
$D^+(2600)$	$2621.3 \pm 3.7 \pm 4.2$	93	$D^0\pi^+$
$D^+(2760)$ $[D_J^*(2760)^+]$	$2769.7 \pm 3.8 \pm 1.5$	60.9	$D^0\pi^+$

$c\overline{c}$ interactions [2]. The BaBar collaboration also analyzed the helicity distributions to determine the spin-parity, and tentatively identified the ($D(2550)$,$D(2600)$) as the $2S$ doublet $(0^-, 1^-)$, the $D(2750)$ and $D(2760)$ as the D-wave states. The revelent parameters are presented in Table II, where we also present the possible correspondences among the particles observed by the LHCb and BaBar collaborations. People have also studied the decay behaviors of these charmed mesons using the heavy meson effective theory [3], constituent quark model [4] and the EHQ's formula [5].

The heavy meson effective theory is a powerful tool for the analysis of the properties of hadrons with a single heavy quark. With this method, P.Colangeloa et al. proposed a classification of many observed $c\overline{q}$ and $b\overline{q}$ mesons in doublets [6]. In Ref. [7] Z.G.Wang studied the strong decays of the charmed mesons $D_J(2580)$, $D_J^*(2650)$, $D_J(2740)$, $D_J^*(2760)$, $D_J(3000)$ and $D_J^*(3000)$ observed by the LHCb collaboration with the heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation. The calculated ratios among the decay widths can be used to confirm or reject the assignments of the newly observed charmed mesons. The quark pair creation(QPC) model is another effective method

to study the strong decays of the mesons, which is also known as the $^3P_0$ decay model. The $^3P_0$ decay model was originally introducedby Micu [8] and further developed by Le Yaouanc et al. [9]. This decay model has been widely used to evaluate the strong decays of hadrons [10–20], since it gives a good description of many of the observed decay amplitudes and partial widths of the hadrons. Recently, Yuan Sun et al. [21] studied the strong decays of the $D_J(3000)$ and $D_J^*(3000)$ with the $^3P_0$ decay model, and identified $D_J(3000)$ as the $2P(1^+)$ state, the $D_J^*(3000)$ as the 2^3P_0 state. In their studies, they used SHO wave functions with the effective oscillator parameter R as the meson space wave functions. In Ref [14], we can see that there are two types of SHO wave functions: SHO wave functions with a common oscillator parameter R and with the effective R. According to a series of least squares fits of the model predictions to the decay widths of 28 of the best known meson decays, it seemed that SHO wave functions with common R can give better results. Thus, in order to identify $D_J(2580)$,$D_J^*(2650)$,$D_J(2740)$,$D_J^*(2760)$,$D_J(3000)$ and $D_J^*(3000)$, it is interesting to study the strong decay of these charmed mesons by the $^3P_0$ model with the common oscillator parameter R.

In the heavy quark limit, the meson system composed of heavy and light mesons $Q\overline{q}$ can be classified in doublets according to the total angular momentum of the light antiquark $\vec{s}_l$, $\vec{s}_l = \vec{s}_{\overline{q}} + \vec{L}$, where the $\vec{s}_{\overline{q}}$ and $\vec{L}$ are the spin and orbital angular momentum of the light antiquark respectively [22]. In the case of the radial quantum number $n = 1$, the doublet (P,P^*) have the spin-parity $J_{s_l}^P = (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $L = 0$; the two doublets (P_0^*, P_1) and (P_1, P_2^*) have the spin-parity $J_{s_l}^P = (0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $(1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}}$ respectively for $L = 1$; the two doublets (P_1^*, P_2) and (P_2, P_3^*) have the spin-parity $J_{s_l}^P = (1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}}$ and $(2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}}$ respectively for $L = 2$; the two doublets (P_2^*, P_3) and (P_3, P_4^*) have the spin-parity $J_{s_l}^P = (2^+, 3^+)_{\frac{5}{2}}$ and $(3^+, 4^+)_{\frac{7}{2}}$ respectively for $L = 3$, where the superscript P denotes the parity. The $n = 2, 3, 4,$ states are clarified by analogous doublets, for example, $n = 2$, the doublet $(P^{'}, P^{*'})$ have the spin-parity $J_{s_l}^P = (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $L = 0$.

The $D_J(2580)^0$, $D_J(2740)^0$ and $D_J(3000)^0$ have unnatural parity, and their possible spin-parity assignments are $J^P = 0^-,\ 1^+,\ 2^-,\ 3^+,\ \cdots$. The $D_J^*(2650)^0$ and $D_J^*(2760)^0$ and $D_J^*(3000)$ have natural parity, and their possible spin-parity assignments are $J^P = 0^+,\ 1^-,\ 2^+,\ 3^-,\ \cdots$. The six low-lying states, D, D^*, $D_0(2400)$, $D_1(2430)$, $D_1(2420)$ and $D_2(2460)$ have been established [23]. The newly observed charmed mesons $D_J(2580)$, $D_J^*(2650)$, $D_J(2740)$, $D_J^*(2760)$, $D_J(3000)$, $D_J^*(3000)$ can be tentatively identified as the missing states in the D meson family.

The mass is a fundamental parameter in describing a hadron, in TABLE III, we present the predictions from some theoretical models, such as the relativized quark model based on a universal one-gluon exchange plus linear confinement potential [24], the relativistic quark model includes the leading order $1/M_h$ corrections [25], the QCD-motivated relativistic quark model based on the quasipotential approach [26]. We can identify the $D_J(2580)$, $D_J^*(2650)$, $D_J(2740)$, $D_J^*(2760)$, $D_J(3000)$, $D_J^*(3000)$ tentatively according to the masses.

TABLE III: The masses of the charmed mesons from different quark models compared with experimental data, and the possible assignments of the newly observed charmed mesons. The N and U denote the natural parity and unnatural parity, respectively.

	nLs_LJ^P	Exp[1,7]	GI[1,8]	PE[9]	EFG[10]
D	$1S\frac{1}{2}0^-$	1867	1864	1868	1871
D^*	$1S\frac{1}{2}1^-$	2008	2023	2005	2010
D_0^*	$1P\frac{1}{2}0^+$	2400	2380	2377	2406
D_1	$1P\frac{1}{2}1^+$	2427	2419	2490	2469
D_1	$1P\frac{3}{2}1^+$	2420	2469	2417	2426
D_2^*	$1P\frac{3}{2}2^+$	2460	2479	2460	2460
D_1^*	$1D\frac{3}{2}1^-$	?2760(N)	2796	2795	2788
D_2	$1D\frac{3}{2}2^-$	?2740(U)	2801	2833	2850
D_2	$1D\frac{5}{2}2^-$	?2740(U)	2806	2775	2806
D_3^*	$1D\frac{5}{2}3^-$	?2760(N)	2806	2799	2863
D_2^*	$1F\frac{5}{2}2^+$	?3000(N)	3074	3101	3090
D_3	$1F\frac{5}{2}3^+$	?3000(U)	3074	3123	3145
D_3	$1F\frac{7}{2}3^+$	?3000(U)	3079	3074	3129
D_4^*	$1F\frac{7}{2}4^+$	?3000(N)	3084	3091	3187
D	$2S\frac{1}{2}0^-$	?2580(U)	2558	2589	2581
D^*	$2S\frac{1}{2}1^-$	?2650(N)	2618	2692	2632
D_0^*	$2P\frac{1}{2}0^+$	?3000(N)		2949	2919
D_1	$2P\frac{1}{2}1^+$	?3000(U)		3045	3021
D_1	$2P\frac{3}{2}1^+$	?3000(U)		2995	2932
D_2^*	$2P\frac{3}{2}2^+$	?3000(N)		3035	3012
D	$3S\frac{1}{2}0^-$	?3000(U)		3141	3062
D^*	$3S\frac{1}{2}1^-$	?3000(N)		3226	3096

In the following, we list out the possible assignments,

$$(D_J(2580), D_J^*(2650)) = (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} \quad with \quad n = 2, L = 0 \tag{.1}$$

$$(D_J^*(2760), D_J(2740)) = (1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}} \quad with \quad n = 1, L = 2 \tag{.2}$$

$$(D_J(2740), D_J^*(2760)) = (2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}} \quad with \quad n = 1, L = 2 \tag{.3}$$

$$(D_J^*(3000), D_J(3000)) = (2^+, 3^+)_{\frac{5}{2}} \quad with \quad n = 1, L = 3 \tag{.4}$$

$$(D_J(3000), D_J^*(3000)) = (3^+, 4^+)_{\frac{7}{2}} \quad with \quad n = 1, L = 3 \tag{.5}$$

$$(D_J^*(3000), D_J(3000)) = (0^+, 1^+)_{\frac{1}{2}} \quad with \quad n = 2, L = 1 \tag{.6}$$

$$(D_J(3000), D_J^*(3000)) = (1^+, 2^+)_{\frac{3}{2}} \quad with \quad n = 2, L = 1 \tag{.7}$$

$$(D_J(3000), D_J^*(3000)) = (0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}} \quad with \quad n = 3, L = 0 \tag{.8}$$

The article is arranged as follows: In section 2, the brief review of the $^3P_0$ decay model is given (For the detailed review see Refs. [9, 11, 12, 14]); in Sect.3, we study the strong decays of the charmed mesons $D_J(2580)$, $D_J^*(2650)$, $D_J(2740)$,$D_J^*(2760)$, $D_J(3000)$, $D_J^*(3000)$ observed by the LHCb collaboration with the $^3P_0$ decay model; in Sect.4, we present our conclusions.

2 METHOD

2.1 The decay model



FIG. 1: The two possible diagrams contributing to $A \to B + C$ in the $^3P_0$ model.

The main assumption of the $^3P_0$ decay model is that strong decays take place via the creation of a $^3P_0$ quark-antiquark pair from the vacuum. The new produced quark-antiquark pair, together with the $q\overline{q}$ within the initial meson, regroups into two outgoing mesons in all possible quark rearrangement ways, which corresponds to the two decay diagrams as shown in Fig.1 for the meson decay process $A \to B + C$.

The transition operator T of the decay $A \to BC$ in the $^3P_0$ model is given by

$$\begin{aligned} T = & -3\gamma \sum_m \langle 1m1 - m \mid 00 \rangle \int d^3\vec{p}_3 d^3\vec{p}_4 \delta^3(\vec{p}_3 + \vec{p}_4) \mathcal{Y}_1^m \\ & \times (\frac{\vec{p}_3 - \vec{p}_4}{2} \chi_{1-m}^{34} \phi_0^{34} \omega_0^{34} b_3^\dagger(\vec{p}_3) d_4^\dagger(\vec{p}_4) \end{aligned} \tag{.9}$$

where γ is a dimensionless parameter representing the probability of the quark-antiquark pair $q_3\overline{q}_4$ with $J^{PC}=0^{++}$ creation from the vacuum, $\vec{p}_3$ and $\vec{p}_4$ are the momenta of the created quark q_3 and antiquark $\overline{q}_4$, respectively. ϕ_0^{34}, ω_0^{34}, and χ_{1-m}^{34} are the flavor, color, and spin wave functions of the $q_3\overline{q}_4$, respectively. The solid harmonic polynomial $\mathcal{Y}_1^m(\vec{p}) \equiv |\vec{p}|^1 Y_1^m(\theta_p,\phi_p)$ reflects the momentum-space distribution of the $q_3\overline{q}_4$.

For the meson wave function, we adopt the mock meson $\mid A(n_A^{2S_A+1}L_{AJ_AM_{J_A}})(\vec{P}_A)\rangle$ defined by [27]

$$\begin{aligned}\mid A(n_A^{2S_A+1}L_{AJ_AM_{J_A}})(\vec{P}_A)\rangle \equiv & \sqrt{2E_A}\sum_{M_{L_A}M_{S_A}}\langle L_AM_{L_A}S_AM_{S_A}\mid J_AM_{J_A}\rangle \\ & \times\int d^3\vec{p}_A\psi_{n_AL_AM_{L_A}}(\vec{p}_A)\chi^{12}_{S_AM_{S_A}}\phi_A^{12}\omega_A^{12} \\ & \times\mid q_1(\frac{m_1}{m_1+m_2}\vec{P}_A+\vec{p}_A)q_2(\frac{m_2}{m_1+m_2}\vec{P}_A-\vec{p}_A)\end{aligned} \tag{.10}$$

where m_1 and m_2 are the masses of the quark q_1 with a momentum of $\vec{p}_1$ and the antiquark q_2 with a momentum of $\vec{p}_2$, respectively. n_A is the radial quantum number of the meson A composed of $q_1\overline{q}_2$. $\vec{S}_A=\vec{s}_{q_1}+\vec{s}_{q_2}$, $\vec{J}_A=\vec{L}_A+\vec{S}_A$, $\vec{s}_{q_1}$ ($\vec{s}_{q_2}$) is the spin of q_1 (q_2), $\vec{L}_A$ is the relative orbital angular momentum between q_1 and q_2. $\vec{P}_A=\vec{p}_1+\vec{p}_2$, $\vec{p}_A=\frac{m_2\vec{p}_1-m_1\vec{p}_2}{m_1+m_2}$. $\langle L_AM_{L_A}S_AM_{S_A}|J_AM_{J_A}\rangle$ is a Clebsch-Gordan coefficient, and E_A is the total energy of the meson A. $\chi^{12}_{S_AM_{S_A}}$, ϕ_A^{12}, ω_A^{12}, and $\psi_{n_AL_AM_{L_A}}(\vec{p}_A)$ are the spin, flavor, color, and space wave functions of the meson A, respectively.

The S-matrix of the process $A\to BC$ is defined by

$$\langle BC\mid S\mid A\rangle = I-2\pi i\delta(E_A-E_B-E_C)\langle BC\mid T\mid A\rangle \tag{.11}$$

with

$$\langle BC\mid T\mid A\rangle = \delta^3(\vec{P}_A-\vec{P}_B-\vec{P}_C)\mathcal{M}^{M_{J_A}M_{J_B}M_{J_C}} \tag{.12}$$

where$\mathcal{M}^{M_{J_A}M_{J_B}M_{J_C}}$ is the helicity amplitude of $A\to BC$. In the center of mass frame of meson A, $\mathcal{M}^{M_{J_A}M_{J_B}M_{J_C}}$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}^{M_{J_A}M_{J_B}M_{J_C}}(\vec{P}) = & \gamma\sqrt{8E_AE_BE_C}\sum_{\substack{M_{L_A},M_{S_A},\\ M_{L_B},M_{S_B},\\ M_{L_C},M_{S_C},m}}\langle L_AM_{L_A}S_AM_{S_A}\mid J_AM_{J_A}\rangle \\ & \times\langle L_BM_{L_B}S_BM_{S_B}\mid J_BM_{J_B}\rangle\langle L_CM_{L_C}S_CM_{S_C}\mid J_CM_{J_C}\rangle \\ & \times\langle 1m1-m\mid 00\rangle\langle\chi^{14}_{S_BM_{S_B}}\chi^{32}_{S_CM_{S_C}}\mid\chi^{12}_{S_AM_{S_A}}\chi^{34}_{1-m}\rangle \\ & \times[\langle\phi_B^{14}\phi_C^{32}\mid\phi_A^{12}\phi_0^{34}\rangle I(\vec{P},m_1,m_2,m_3) \\ & +(-1)^{1+S_A+S_B+S_C}\langle\phi_B^{32}\phi_C^{14}\mid\phi_A^{12}\phi_0^{34}\rangle I(-\vec{P},m_2,m_1,m_3)]\end{aligned} \tag{.13}$$

The two terms in the last factor correspond to the two possible diagrams in Figs.1(a) and 1(b), respectively, and the spatial integral is defined as

$$I(\vec{P},m_1,m_2,m_3)=\int d^3\vec{p}\psi^*_{n_BL_BM_{L_B}}(\frac{m_3}{m_1+m_2}\vec{P}_B+\vec{p})\psi^*_{n_CL_CM_{L_C}}(\frac{m_3}{m_2+m_3}\vec{P}_B+\vec{p}) \times\psi_{n_AL_AM_{L_A}}(\vec{P}_B+\vec{p})\mathcal{Y}^m_1(\vec{p}) \tag{.14}$$

where $\vec{P}=\vec{P}_B=-\vec{P}_C,\vec{p}=\vec{p}_3$, m_3 is the mass of the created quark q_3, the simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) approximation is used for the meson space wave functions. In momentum-space, the SHO wave function is

$$\Psi_{nLM_L}(\vec{p})=(-1)^n(-i)^LR^{L+\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{2n!}{\Gamma(n+L+\frac{3}{2})}} \times exp(-\frac{R^2p^2}{2})L_n^{L+\frac{1}{2}}(R^2p^2)\mathcal{Y}_{LM_L}(\vec{p}) \tag{.15}$$

here $\mathcal{Y}_{LM_L}(\vec{p})=|\vec{p}|^LY_{LM_L}(\Omega_p)$, and $L_n^{L+\frac{1}{2}}(R^2p^2)$ is an associated Laguerre polynomial. The overlaps of the flavor and spin wave functions of the mesons and the created pair in the formula(13) can be calculated according to Ref [14].

With the Jacob-Wick formula the helicity amplitude can be converted into the partial wave amplitude [28]

$$\mathcal{M}^{JL}(\vec{P})=\frac{\sqrt{4\pi(2L+1)}}{2J_A+1}\sum_{M_{J_B}M_{J_C}}\langle L0JM_{J_A}|J_AM_{J_A}\rangle \times\langle J_BM_{J_B}J_CM_{J_C}|JM_{J_A}\rangle\mathcal{M}^{M_{J_A}M_{J_B}M_{J_C}}(\vec{P}) \tag{.16}$$

The decay width in terms of partial wave amplitudes using the relativistic phase space is:

$$\Gamma=\frac{\pi}{4}\frac{|\vec{P}|}{M_A^2}\sum_{JL}|\mathcal{M}^{JL}|^2 \tag{.17}$$

Here $P=|\vec{P}|=\frac{\sqrt{[M_A^2-(M_B+M_C)^2][M_A^2-(M_B-M_C)^2]}}{2M_A}$, M_A, M_B, and M_C are the masses of the meson A, B, and C, respectively.

2.2 Mixed states

Heavy-light mesons are not charge conjugation eigenstates and so mixing can occur among states with the same J^P that are forbidden for neutral states. These occur between states with $J=L$ and $S=1$ or 0. A general relation between heavy quark symmetric states and non-relativistic states ^3L_L and ^1L_L can be given as [29]

$$\begin{pmatrix}|s_l=L-\frac{1}{2},L^P\rangle\\|s_l=L+\frac{1}{2},L^P\rangle\end{pmatrix}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2L+1}}\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{L+1}&-\sqrt{L}\\\sqrt{L}&\sqrt{L+1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}|^3L_L\rangle\\|^1L_L\rangle\end{pmatrix},P=(-1)^{L+1} \tag{.18}$$

When $J = L = 1$, the corresponding mixture angle is $\theta = -54.7^\circ$ or $\theta = 35.3^\circ$, thus formula(18) transforms into

$$\begin{pmatrix} |\frac{1}{2}, 1^+\rangle \\ |\frac{3}{2}, 1^+\rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} |^3P_1\rangle \\ |^1P_1\rangle \end{pmatrix} \tag{.19}$$

In our calculation, the final states are related to $D(2420)/D(2430)$ and $D_s(2460)/D_s(2536)$, which are the 1^+ states in the D and D_s meson families,respectively. $D(2420)/D(2430)$ and $D_s(2460)/D_s(2536)$ are the mixing of the $^3P_1$ and $^1P_1$ states, which satisfy the formula(19). In addition, the initial states of 1^+ are also the mixing of $^3P_1$ and $^1P_1$ states. As far as the $1F\frac{5}{2}3^+/1F\frac{7}{2}3^+$ and $1D\frac{3}{2}2^-/1D\frac{5}{2}2^-$ states are concerned, they are the mixing of the $^3F_3/^1F_3$ and $^3D_2/^1D_2$ states respectively, and the mixture angle can be determined by formula(18). In order to distinguish the orbital angular momentum from the partial wave angular momentum,L, in the formula(16), we choose l as the orbital angular momentum of the D mesons in the following three formulas(20-22). If the initial states $A(l^P)$ are the mixings, the partial wave amplitude can be deduced as

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|l-\frac{1}{2},l^P\rangle\to BC} \\ \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|l+\frac{1}{2},l^P\rangle\to BC} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|^3l_l\rangle\to BC} \\ \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|^1l_l\rangle\to BC} \end{pmatrix} \tag{.20}$$

in the cases of the mixings of the final states $B(l'^{P'})$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{A\to|l'-\frac{1}{2},l'^{P'}\rangle C} \\ \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{A\to|l'+\frac{1}{2},l'^{P'}\rangle C} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta' & -\sin\theta' \\ \sin\theta' & \cos\theta' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{A\to|^3l'_{l'}\rangle C} \\ \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{A\to|^1l'_{l'}\rangle C} \end{pmatrix} \tag{.21}$$

When the initial and the final states(A and B) are both the mixings, we can get the similar relation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|l-\frac{1}{2},l^P\rangle\to|l'-\frac{1}{2},l'^{P'}\rangle C} \\ \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|l-\frac{1}{2},l^P\rangle\to|l'+\frac{1}{2},l'^{P'}\rangle C} \\ \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|l+\frac{1}{2},l^P\rangle\to|l'-\frac{1}{2},l'^{P'}\rangle C} \\ \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|l+\frac{1}{2},l^P\rangle\to|l'+\frac{1}{2},l'^{P'}\rangle C} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta\cos\theta' & -\sin\theta\cos\theta' & -\cos\theta\sin\theta' & \sin\theta\sin\theta' \\ \cos\theta\sin\theta' & -\sin\theta\sin\theta' & \cos\theta\cos\theta' & -\sin\theta\cos\theta' \\ \sin\theta\cos\theta' & \cos\theta\cos\theta' & -\sin\theta\sin\theta' & -\cos\theta\sin\theta' \\ \sin\theta\sin\theta' & \cos\theta\sin\theta' & \sin\theta\cos\theta' & \cos\theta\cos\theta' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|^3l_l\rangle\to|^3l'_{l'}\rangle C} \\ \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|^1l_l\rangle\to|^3l'_{l'}\rangle C} \\ \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|^3l_l\rangle\to|^1l'_{l'}\rangle C} \\ \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|^1l_l\rangle\to|^1l'_{l'}\rangle C} \end{pmatrix} \tag{.22}$$

Where θ and θ' are the mixtures of the initial and final states, respectively. Thus the decay width can also be deduced from the general relations of (20-22). For example, in the cases of the mixings of the initial states of 1^+,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|\frac{1}{2},1^+\rangle\to BC} \\ \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|\frac{3}{2},1^+\rangle\to BC} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\theta & -\sin\theta \\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|^3P_1\rangle\to BC} \\ \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|^1P_1\rangle\to BC} \end{pmatrix} \tag{.23}$$

and the decay width can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma(|\frac{1}{2},1^+\rangle \to BC) &= \sum_{JL} |\cos\theta \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|^3P_1\rangle\to BC} - \sin\theta \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|^1P_1\rangle\to BC}|^2 \\ \Gamma(|\frac{3}{2},1^+\rangle \to BC) &= \sum_{JL} |\sin\theta \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|^3P_1\rangle\to BC} + \cos\theta \mathcal{M}^{JL}_{|^1P_1\rangle\to BC}|^2\end{aligned} \tag{.24}$$

3 Numerical Results

TABLE IV: The adopted masses of the mesons used in our calculation.

States	$M_{\pi+}$	M_{π^0}	M_{K^+}	M_{K^*}	M_η	$M_{\eta'}$	M_{D^+}	M_{D^0}	$M_{D^{*+}}$	$M_{D^{*0}}$
Mass(MeV)	139.57	134.9766	493.677	891.66	547.853	957.78	1869.6	1864.83	2010.25	2006.96
States	$M_{D_s^{*+}}$	$M_{D_s^+}$	$M_{D(2400)}$	$M_{D(2430)}$	$M_{D(2420)}$	$M_{D(2460)}$	$M_{D_s(2317)}$	M_ρ	M_ω	
Mass(MeV)	2112.3	1968.47	2318	2427	2421.3	2464.4	2317.8	770	782	

The parameters involved in the $^3P_0$ model include the light quark pair($q\overline{q}$) creation strength γ, the SHO wave function scale parameter R, and the masses of the mesons and the constituent quarks. According to Ref. [14], we adopt the SHO wave function with common R whose value is chosen to be 2.5GeV^{-1}. Correspondingly, the γ value is chosen to be 6.25 for the creation of u/d quark [14]. As for the strange quark pair($s\overline{s}$), its creation strength can be related by $\gamma_{s\overline{s}} = \gamma/\sqrt{3}$ [10]. The adopted masses of the mesons are listed in TABLE IV, and $m_u = m_d = 0.22$ GeV, $m_s = 0.419$ GeV and $m_c = 1.65$ GeV.

The numerical values of the widths of the strong decays of the charmed mesons $D_J(2580)$, $D_J^*(2650)$, $D_J(2740)$, $D_J^*(2760)$, $D_J(3000)$, $D_J^*(3000)$ observed by the LHCb collaboration are presented in TABLE V-VII. The measurement of the LHCb collaboration favors the assignment ($D_J(2580)$, $D_J^*(2650)$) = $(0^-, 1^-)_{\frac{1}{2}}$ with n = 2. They also favors two possible assignments

$$(D_J^*(2760), D_J(2740)) = (1^-, 2^-)_{\frac{3}{2}} \quad with \quad n = 1, L = 2 \tag{.25}$$

$$(D_J(2740), D_J^*(2760)) = (2^-, 3^-)_{\frac{5}{2}} \quad with \quad n = 1, L = 2 \tag{.26}$$

The partial and total decay width of above assignments are listed in TABLE V. Comparing with the experimental data of the LHCb and BaBar collaboration, our results are at the same order of magnitude with them, which indicates that the $^3P_0$ decay decay model works well. We can see that, except for $D_J(2580)$, the calculated total width of $D_J(2740)$ and $D_J^*(2760)$ are somewhat bigger than the experimental data, and the width of $D_J^*(2650)$ is approximately in agreement with the total width measured by BaBar collaboration. In addition, $1D_2^5 3^-$ may be the optimal assignment to $D_J^*(2760)$ since the corresponding total width is close to the experimental data of LHCb collaboration.

TABLE V: The strong decay widths of the newly observed charmed mesons $D_J(2580)$, $D_J^*(2650)$, $D_J(2740)$ and $D_J^*(2760)$ with possible assignments.If the corresponding decay channel is forbidden,we mark it by "-". All values in units of MeV.

	$D_J(2580)$	$D_J^*(2650)$	$D_J^*(2760)$	$D_J(2740)$	$D_J(2740)$	$D_J^*(2760)$
	$2S\frac{1}{2}0^-$	$2S\frac{1}{2}1^-$	$1D\frac{3}{2}1^-$	$1D\frac{3}{2}2^-$	$1D\frac{5}{2}2^-$	$1D\frac{5}{2}3^-$
$D^{*+}\pi^-$	49.80	34.72	16.46	17.04	48.63	10.35
$D_S^{*+}K^-$	-	2.02	2.86	0.38	6.95	0.09
$D^{*0}\pi^0$	25.00	17.32	8.19	8.74	24.24	5.32
$D^{*0}\eta$	0.81	3.26	2.80	0.59	7.41	0.24
$D^0(2400)\pi^0$	0.35	-	-	0.06	0.00028	-
$D^0(2460)\pi^0$	-	0.024	0.23	0.97	23.26	0.17
$D(2420)\pi^0$	-	0.12	23.92	0.26	0.089	0.024
$D(2427)\pi^0$	-	0.30	3.10	13.48	0.0057	0.065
$D^+\pi^-$	-	13.57	25.15	-	-	17.02
$D_S^+K^-$	-	6.56	10.34	-	-	0.70
$D^0\pi^0$	-	6.65	12.35	-	-	8.73
$D^0\eta$	-	3.53	6.78	-	-	0.99
$D^+\rho$	-	1.59	37.00	36.02	0.50	26.44
$D_S^+K^*$	-	-	-	-	-	-
$D^0\rho$	-	1.37	19.10	18.90	0.29	13.72
$D^0\omega$	-	0.14	17.80	16.90	0.20	12.62
Total width	75.96	91.18	186.06	113.34	111.56	96.49

However, LHCb collaboration identified the $D_J^*(2760)$ as the $1D\frac{3}{2}1^-$ state, which is incompatible with our results. From TABLE V, we can see that, if $D_J^*(2760)$ is the $1D\frac{5}{2}3^-$ assignment, the main decay channels include $D^+\rho$, $D^+\pi^-$, $D^0\rho$ and $D^0\omega$. The decay behavior of $1D\frac{3}{2}1^-$ is very similar to $1D\frac{5}{2}3^-$ except for the decay channel of $D(2420)\pi^0$. This difference can be used to further identify the assignments of $D_J^*(2760)$ in the future. Furthermore, we tentatively identify the $D_J(2740)$ as the $1D$ state with $J^P = 2^-$, and we can see that the total width of $1D\frac{3}{2}2^-$ and $1D\frac{5}{2}2^-$ are at the same level. However, the decay behavior of $1D\frac{3}{2}2^-$ and $1D\frac{5}{2}2^-$ states is quite different from each other. The main decay modes of $1D\frac{3}{2}2^-$ state include $D^+\rho$, $D^{*+}\pi^-$, $D^0\rho$, $D^0\omega$ and $D(2427)\pi^0$. And the $1D\frac{5}{2}2^-$ state can mainly decay into $D^{*+}\pi^-$,$D^{*0}\pi^0$ and $D^0(2460)\pi^0$.

As discussed above, $D_J^*(3000)$ is a natual parity state. Thus, we study its decay behavior with the $1F\frac{5}{2}2^+$, $1F\frac{7}{2}4^+$, $2P\frac{1}{2}0^+$, $2P\frac{3}{2}2^+$ and $3S\frac{1}{2}1^-$ assignments. We can see from TABLE VI that $1F\frac{5}{2}2^+$ and $1F\frac{7}{2}4^+$ are most likely to be the assignments of $D_J^*(3000)$ since the total widths are in agreement well with the experimental data. However, these two assignments also lead to different decay modes, which can be used to further identify its spin-parity assignments. If $D_J^*(3000)$ is a $1F\frac{5}{2}2^+$ state,

the $D^{*+}\pi^-$, $D^+\pi^-$, $D^+\rho$ and $D(2420)\pi^0$ are the main decay modes. $D^{*+}\rho$, $D^{*0}\rho$, $D^{*0}\omega$, $D^+\pi^-$ and $D^{*+}\pi^-$ are the main decay channels if we suppose $1F\frac{7}{2}4^+$ is the assignment to $D_J^*(3000)$. Our results also show that the $2P\frac{1}{2}0^+$, $2P\frac{3}{2}2^+$ and $3S\frac{1}{2}0^-$ states can be excluded since the corresponding total widths are quite different from the full width of $D_J^*(3000)$. Nevertheless, these information are valuable to further search for the partners of $D_J^*(3000)$.

In the following, we discuss $D_J(3000)$ by combining our results with the experimental data. As for $D_J(3000)$, the possible states include $3S\frac{1}{2}0^-$, $2P\frac{1}{2}1^+$, $2P\frac{3}{2}1^+$, $1F\frac{5}{2}3^+$ and $1F\frac{7}{2}3^+$. In TABLE VII, the partial and total decay width of $D_J(3000)$ as these above states are given. We can easily see from the table that both the width of $1F\frac{7}{2}3^+$ and $2P\frac{1}{2}1^+$ are in agreement well with the experimental data. So, the $1F\frac{7}{2}3^+$ and $2P\frac{1}{2}1^+$ states are most likely to be the states to $D_J(3000)$. If $D_J(3000)$ is a $1F\frac{7}{2}3^+$ state, $D_J(3000)$ dominantly decays into $D^+(2460)\pi^-$, $D^0(2460)\pi^0$, $D^{*+}\pi^-$, $D^{*0}\pi^0$ and $D^{*+}\rho$. If it is the $2P\frac{1}{2}1^+$ state, the main decay modes include $D^{*+}\rho$, $D^{*0}\rho$, $D^{*0}\omega$, $D^{*+}\pi^-$, $D^+\rho$ and $D^{*0}\pi^0$. These results are well consistent with the experimental observation [1], where $D_J(3000)$ was first observed in the $D^{*+}\pi^-$ decay channel. As for the other three states of $3S\frac{1}{2}0^-$, $2P\frac{3}{2}1^+$ and $1F\frac{5}{2}3^+$, we can also see its main decay modes from TABLE VII, which are valuable to search for these states in experiment in the future.

In TABLE VIII, we present the experimental data on the ratio $\frac{\Gamma(D_2^*(2460)\to D^+\pi^-)}{\Gamma(D_2^*(2460)\to D^{*+}\pi^-)}$ for the well established meson $D_2^*(2460)$ from the BaBar [2], CLEO [30, 31], ARGUS [32], and ZEUS [33] collaborations. And the results based on the heavy meson effective theory in the leading order approximation is also listed in TABLE VIII [7]. The present prediction 2.29 based on the $^3P_0$ model is in excellent agreement with the average experimental value 2.35 [7]. Furthermore, this results are consistent well with the prediction based on the heavy meson effective theory.

Finally, it needs to be noticed that the ratios of the decay width of different charmed mesons based on $^3P_0$ model are roughly consistent with the experimental data. For example, the ratio $\frac{\Gamma_{D_J(2580)}}{\Gamma_{D_J^*(2650)}} \approx 0.83$ based on $^3P_0$ model, and the experimental data is $\frac{\Gamma_{D_J(2580)}}{\Gamma_{D_J^*(2650)}} \approx 1.27$; The ratio $\frac{\Gamma_{D_J^*(2760)}}{\Gamma_{D_J(2740)}} \approx 0.86$, and the orresponding experimental data is $\frac{\Gamma_{D_J^*(2760)}}{\Gamma_{D_J(2740)}} \approx 1.0$. Certainly, the dependence of the model on the input parameters such as R and γ, and evenly the approximation of the model itself can also lead to the inaccuracy of our results. So, this paper is just a simple discussion about the decay behaviors of these newly observed mesons. And more theoretical calculations and more precise measurements are needed to make a comprehensive and reliable comparison.

4 Conclusion

In this article, we carry out the study of the properties of the newly observed charmed mesons $D_J(2580)$, $D_J^*(2650)$, $D_J(2740)$, $D_J^*(2760)$, $D_J(3000)$ and $D_J^*(3000)$ with the $^3P_0$ decay model. Our results support the $1D\frac{5}{2}3^-$ assignment to $D_J^*(2760)$, which need to be further identified by experiments in the future. In addition, both the mass spectra of the D meson and the two-body decay behaviors

indicates that $1F\frac{5}{2}2^+$ or $1F\frac{7}{2}4^+$ may be the assignment to $D_J^*(3000)$, since the widths of these two assignments are in agreement well with the experimental data. And we also identify the $1F\frac{7}{2}3^+$ and $2P\frac{1}{2}1^+$ as the candidates of the assignments to $D_J(3000)$. In Ref. [21], Sun et al. indicate that $2P1^+$ state is most probably the assignment to $D_J(3000)$, which is consistent with our judgement. It is noted that $D_J^*(3000)$ and $D_J(3000)$ states are strongly correlated to the background parameters as shown in Ref. [1] Thus, more experimental data are needed to state a more clear conclusion on the existence of these two states, their properties and further identification of the obtained states in Ref. [1]. When investigating $D_J(2580)$, $D_J^*(2650)$, $D_J(2740)$, $D_J^*(2760)$, $D_J(3000)$ and $D_J^*(3000)$, we have also given the decay behaviors of the $2P\frac{1}{2}0^+$,$2P\frac{3}{2}2^+$, $3S\frac{1}{2}1^-$, $3S\frac{1}{2}0^-$, etc, which can be used to confirm or reject the assignments of the newly observed charmed mesons in the future.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant Numbers 11375063 and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, Grant Number 13QN59.

[1] R.Aaij et al, arXiv:1307.4556.

[2] P.del Amo Sanchez et al, Phys.Rev. D 82,111101(2010).

[3] Z. G. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 83, 014009(2011).

[4] Xian-Hui Zhong, Phys.Rev. D 82, 114014(2010).

[5] Bing Chen, Ling Yuan and Ailin Zhang, Phys.Rev. D83, 114025(2011).

[6] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio, F. Giannuzzi and S. Nicotri, Phys. Rev. D86, 054024(2012).

[7] Z.G. Wang,Phys.Rev.D 88,114003(2013).

[8] L.Micu, Nucl. Phys. B 10, 521(1969).

[9] A.Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene, J-C. Raynal, Phys. Rev. D 8, 2223 (1973); Phys. Rev. D 9, 1415 (1974); Phys. Rev. D 11, 1272 (1975); Phys. Lett. B 71, 397 (1977).

[10] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene, and J. C. Raynal, Phys. Lett. B 72, 57 (1977).

[11] A. Le Yaouanc, L. Oliver, O. Pene, J-C. Raynal, Hadron transitons in the quark model(Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1988)

[12] W. Roberts and B. Silvestr-Brac, Few-Body Syst. 11, 171 (1992)

[13] S.Capstick, N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D 34, 2809 (1986); S. Capstick, W. Roberts, Phys. Rev. D 49 4570 (1994).

[14] H. G. Blundell, hep-ph/9608473;H.G. Blundell, S. Godfrey, Phys. Rev. D 53,3700 (1996); H. G. Blundell, S. Godfrey, B. Phelps, Phys. Rev. D 53, 3712 (1996).

[15] E. S. Ackleh, T. Barnes and E. S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. D 54, 6811 (1996); T. Barnes, N. Black and P. R. Page, Phys. Rev. D 68, 054014 (2003); F. E. Close, E. S. Swanson, Phys. Rev. D 72, 094004 (2005); F. E. Close, C. E. Thomas, O. Lakhina, E. S. Swanson, Phys. Lett. B 647, 159(2007);

[16] H. Q. Zhou, R. G. Ping, B. S. Zou, Phys. Lett. B 611, 123 (2005); G. J. Ding, M. L. Yan, Phys. Lett. B

657, 49 (2007).

[17] Bing Chen, Deng-Xia Wang and Ailin Zhang, Phys.Rev. D 80, 071502(2009).

[18] De-Min Li, Shan Zhou, Phys.Rev.D 78, 054013 (2008); De-Min Li, En Wang, Eur.Phys.J.C 63:297 (2009); De-Min Li, Peng-Fei Ji, Bing Ma, Eur.Phys.J.C 71,1582 (2011)

[19] B. Zhang, X. Liu, W. Z. Deng, S. L. Zhu, Eur. Phys. J. C 50, 617 (2007); Y. Sun, Q. T. Song, D.Y. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, arXiv:1401.1595 [hep-ph](2013).

[20] Y. C. Yang, Z. R. Xia, J. L. Ping, Phys.Rev.D 81,094003(2010).

[21] Yuan Sun, Xiang Liu, Takayuki Matsuki. Phys. Rev. D88, 094020(2013).

[22] A. V. Manohar and M. B. Wise, Camb. Monogr. Part. Phys. Nucl. Phys. Cosmol. 10 (2000); M. Neubert, Phys. Rept. 245, 259(1994).

[23] J. Beringer et al, Phys. Rev. D 86, 010001(2012).

[24] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D 32, 189(1985).

[25] M. Di Pierro and E. Eichten, Phys. Rev. D 64, 114004(2001).

[26] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Eur. Phys. J. C 66,197(2010).

[27] C. Hayne and N. Isgur, Phys. Rev. D 25, 1944 (1982)

[28] M. Jacob, G. C. Wick, Ann. Phys. 7, 404 (1959)

[29] T. Matsuki, T. Morii,K. Seo, Prog.Theor.Phys. 124,285(2010)

[30] P. Avery et al, Phys. Lett. B 331, 236(1994).

[31] P. Avery et al, Phys. Rev. D 41, 774(1990).

[32] H. Albrecht et al, Phys. Lett. B 232, 398(1989).

[33] S. Chekanov et al, Eur. Phys. J. C 60, 25(2009).

TABLE VI: The strong decay widths of the newly observed charmed mesons $D_J^*(3000)$ with possible assignments.If the corresponding decay channel is forbidden,we mark it by "-". All values in units of MeV.

	$1F\frac{5}{2}2^+$	$1F\frac{7}{2}4^+$	$2P\frac{1}{2}0^+$	$2P\frac{3}{2}2^+$	$3S\frac{1}{2}1^-$
$D^{*+}\pi^-$	10.46	9.36	-	11.91	3.19
$D_S^{*+}K^-$	1.80	0.27	-	6.38	1.50
$D^{*0}\pi^0$	5.21	4.78	-	5.86	1.66
$D^{*0}\eta$	1.87	0.54	-	3.16	0
$D^{*0}\eta^{'}$	0.10	-	-	0.25	1.84
$D^+\pi^-$	12.61	14.08	23.94	3.40	3.61
$D_S^+K^-$	3.71	0.81	2.85	5.47	0.081
$D^0\pi^0$	6.22	7.19	11.97	1.61	1.84
$D^0\eta$	2.94	1.21	4.26	1.6	0.23
$D^0\eta^{'}$	4.39	0.11	1.07	4.31	1.69
$D^{*+}\rho$	6.74	28.23	62.01	25.22	18.01
$D_S^{*+}K^*$	0.0057	0.032	3.06	12.21	0.57
$D^{*0}\rho$	3.49	14.45	31.60	12.78	8.73
$D^{*0}\omega$	3.16	13.46	29.91	12.38	9.65
$D^+\rho$	11.69	2.78	-	20.71	0.14
$D_S^+K^*$	0.61	0.016	-	2.29	3.53
$D^0\rho$	5.90	1.46	-	10.37	0.049
$D^0\omega$	5.81	1.33	-	10.38	0.11
$D(2420)\pi^0$	15.01	0.04	26.20	3.91	7.10
$D(2420)\eta$	0.61	1.82×10^{-7}	1.37	1.62×10^{-3}	0.11
$D(2427)\pi^0$	2.06	0.41	6.69	1.95	0.91
$D(2427)\eta$	0.04	1.56×10^{-4}	0.35	0.13	0.77
$D(2400)\pi^0$	-	-	-	-	-
$D(2400)\eta$	-	-	-	-	-
$D_S(2460)K^-$	3.07	0.016	12.81	1.03	0.98
$D_S(2536)K^-$	1.54	0.0081	6.40	0.64	0.49
$D^+(2460)\pi^-$	4.85	1.14	-	11.08	13.57
$D^0(2460)\pi^0$	2.45	0.59	-	5.59	6.87
$D^0(2460)\eta$	0.09	-	-	0.05	0.008
$D_S^+(2317)K^-$	-	-	-	-	-
Total width	116.43	102.31	224.49	174.53	87.22

TABLE VII: The strong decay widths of the newly observed charmed mesons $D_J(3000)$ with possible assignments.If the corresponding decay channel is forbidden,we mark it by "-". All values in units of MeV.

	$1F\frac{5}{2}3^+$	$1F\frac{7}{2}3^+$	$2P\frac{1}{2}1^+$	$2P\frac{3}{2}1^+$	$3S\frac{1}{2}0^-$
$D^{*+}\pi^-$	17.02	25.95	20.32	23.62	4.78
$D_S^{*+}K^-$	0.57	4.40	9.45	1.22	2.25
$D^{*0}\pi^0$	8.69	12.93	10.03	11.85	2.47
$D^{*0}\eta$	1.05	4.59	4.92	2.48	0
$D^{*0}\eta^{'}$	0.0057	0.25	2.71	18.72	2.75
$D^+\pi^-$	-	-	-	-	-
$D_S^+K^-$	-	-	-	-	-
$D^0\pi^0$	-	-	-	-	-
$D^0\eta$	-	-	-	-	-
$D^0\eta^{'}$	-	-	-	-	-
$D^{*+}\rho$	12.16	12.79	41.34	36.26	15.44
$D_S^{*+}K^*$	0.0081	0.016	2.05	4.08	0.49
$D^{*0}\rho$	6.24	6.56	21.07	18.46	7.48
$D^{*0}\omega$	5.77	6.07	19.93	17.53	8.26
$D^+\rho$	29.22	5.01	10.59	34.52	0.21
$D_S^+K^*$	1.50	0.024	7.13	3.82	5.31
$D^0\rho$	14.74	2.63	5.61	17.27	0.073
$D^0\omega$	14.52	2.39	4.99	17.30	0.15
$D(2420)\pi^0$	0.99	0.40	0.0081	0.024	-
$D(2420)\eta$	1.7×10^{-3}	6.3×10^{-4}	3.0×10^{-3}	6.1×10^{-3}	-
$D(2427)\pi^0$	0.0081	9.7×10^{-3}	9.9×10^{-3}	0.0081	-
$D(2427)\eta$	5.9×10^{-4}	1.8×10^{-4}	1.5×10^{-3}	3.0×10^{-3}	-
$D(2400)\pi^0$	0.32	0.057	0.24	0.17	0.51
$D(2400)\eta$	0.011	0.0027	0.27	0.30	0.28
$D_S(2460)K^-$	1.9×10^{-3}	2.5×10^{-3}	0.0081	0.024	-
$D_S(2536)K^-$	3.7×10^{-3}	4.9×10^{-3}	0.024	0.049	-
$D^+(2460)\pi^-$	0.99	36.52	10.52	56.21	27.15
$D^0(2460)\pi^0$	0.50	18.32	5.39	28.05	13.74
$D^0(2460)\eta$	0.019	0.85	0.024	0.56	0.013
$D_S^+(2317)K^-$	0.049	0.016	0.83	0.52	0.27
Total width	114.40	187.49	177.46	293.05	91.62

TABLE VIII: The experimental values and numerical results based on the leading order heavy meson effective theory(HMET) of the ratio $\frac{\Gamma(D_2^*(2460)\to D^+\pi^-)}{\Gamma(D_2^*(2460)\to D^{*+}\pi^-)}$ compared to our numerical results with $^3P_0$ model

BaBar	CLEO	CLEO	ARGUS	ZEUS	HMET	This work
$1.47 \pm 0.03 \pm 0.16$	$2.2 \pm 0.7 \pm 0.6$	2.3 ± 0.8	$3.0 \pm 1.1 \pm 1.5$	$2.8 \pm 0.8^{+0.5}_{-0.6}$	2.29	2.29